

the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of timely filed objections, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’”) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

After a careful review of the record and the applicable law, the Court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Court adopts and incorporates the Magistrate Judge’s Report (ECF No. 20) and transfers this action to the Western District of Texas, Pecos Division, for disposition.

/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States District Judge

December 2, 2016
Greenville, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.